

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/5605421>

Comparison of Therapeutic Effects of Omega-3 Fatty Acid Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Fluoxetine, Separately and in Combination, in Major Depressive Disorder

Article in *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* · March 2008

DOI: 10.1080/00048670701827275 · Source: PubMed

CITATIONS

191

READS

1,165

8 authors, including:



Shima Jazayeri

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

42 PUBLICATIONS 1,525 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mehdi Tehrani-Doost

Tehran University of Medical Sciences

144 PUBLICATIONS 1,799 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Mostafa Hosseini

Imam Sadiq University

280 PUBLICATIONS 6,431 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



growth and obesity [View project](#)



Theory of mind [View project](#)

This article was downloaded by:[Universiteit Gent]
On: 12 February 2008
Access Details: [subscription number 781058445]
Publisher: Informa Healthcare
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954
Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t768481832>

Comparison of therapeutic effects of omega-3 fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid and fluoxetine, separately and in combination, in major depressive disorder

Shima Jazayeri ^a; Mehdi Tehrani-Doost ^b; Seyed A. Keshavarz ^a; Mostafa Hosseini ^c; Abolghassem Djazayeri ^a; Homayoun Amini ^b; Mahmoud Jalali ^a; Malcolm Peet ^d

^a Roozbeh Psychiatry Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^b Department of Nutrition and Biochemistry, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^c Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran

University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^d Swallownest Court Hospital, Sheffield, UK

Online Publication Date: 01 March 2008

To cite this Article: Jazayeri, Shima, Tehrani-Doost, Mehdi, Keshavarz, Seyed A., Hosseini, Mostafa, Djazayeri, Abolghassem, Amini, Homayoun, Jalali, Mahmoud and Peet, Malcolm (2008) 'Comparison of therapeutic effects of omega-3 fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid and fluoxetine, separately and in combination, in major depressive disorder', Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 42:3, 192 - 198

To link to this article: DOI: 10.1080/00048670701827275

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00048670701827275>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article maybe used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Comparison of therapeutic effects of omega-3 fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid and fluoxetine, separately and in combination, in major depressive disorder

Shima Jazayeri, Mehdi Tehrani-Doost, Seyed A. Keshavarz, Mostafa Hosseini, Abolghassem Djazayeri, Homayoun Amini, Mahmoud Jalali, Malcolm Peet

Objective: To compare therapeutic effects of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), fluoxetine and a combination of them in major depression.

Method: Sixty outpatients with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder based on DSM-IV criteria and a score ≥ 15 in the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) were randomly allocated to receive daily either 1000 mg EPA or 20 mg fluoxetine, or their combination for 8 weeks. Double dummy technique was used to double blind the study. Patients were assessed at 2 week intervals. Change in HDRS was the primary outcome measure.

Results: Analysis of covariance for HDRS at week 8 across treatment groups was performed in 48 patients who completed at least 4 weeks of the study, with the last observation carried forward. Treatment, age of onset and baseline HDRS had a significant effect on HDRS at week 8. EPA+fluoxetine combination was significantly better than fluoxetine or EPA alone from the fourth week of treatment. Fluoxetine and EPA appear to be equally effective in controlling depressive symptoms. Response rates ($\geq 50\%$ decrease in baseline HDRS) were 50%, 56% and 81% in the fluoxetine, EPA and combination groups, respectively.

Conclusions: In the present 8 week trial EPA and fluoxetine had equal therapeutic effects in major depressive disorder. EPA+fluoxetine combination was superior to either of them alone.

Key words: EPA, fluoxetine, major depressive disorder, omega-3.

Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 2008; 42:192-198

Mehdi Tehrani-Doost, Assistant Professor (Correspondence); Homayoun Amini, Associate Professor

Roozbeh Psychiatry Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, PO Box 1333795914, Tehran, Iran. Email: tehranid@sina.tums.ac.ir

Shima Jazayeri, PhD Student; Seyed A. Keshavarz, Professor; Abolghassem Djazayeri, Professor; Mahmoud Jalali, Professor

Department of Nutrition and Biochemistry, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Mostafa Hosseini, Associate Professor

Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Malcolm Peet, Consultant Psychiatrist

Swallownest Court Hospital, Sheffield, UK

Received 3 October 2007; accepted 28 October 2007.

Analysis of the global burden of disease in the year 2000 showed that unipolar depressive disorders were ranked as the fourth highest among all diseases [1]. In the age group 15–44 years they generated the second highest burden. By the year 2020, if current trends for demographic and epidemiological transition continue, depression would become the second leading cause of disability-adjusted life years lost worldwide and the highest ranking cause of disease in the developed regions. A systematic review comparing antidepressants with placebo for treatment of depression in primary care showed that both tricyclic antidepressants and selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitor were effective in treatment of depressive symptoms compared with placebo, and 56–60% of patients responded well to these antidepressants [2]. On the whole, 19–34% of patients with major depressive disorder will become treatment-resistant [3].

Depression is among the 10 most frequent indications for using complementary and alternative medicine [4]. Use of dietary supplements is common among people being treated for depression. Not very much is known about interactions between drugs and supplements, therefore it is particularly important from a clinical point of view to investigate this [5]. Omega-3 fatty acids (ω -3FAs) are among supplements that depressed patients consume. Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) are the two major long chain ω -3FAs considered important in depression. Epidemiological and treatment studies have suggested that dietary ω -3FA deficiency may be of aetiological importance in depression. It has been reported that depression is inversely related to long-term ω -3FA intake in adults, the elderly and adolescents in the island of Crete [6–8]. An ecologic study has provided a strongly negative correlation between fish consumption and major depressive disorder prevalence in several countries [9]. Edwards *et al.* reported that severity of depression correlated negatively with the red blood cell membrane levels of ω -3FAs and their dietary intake in depressed subjects [10]. There is evidence suggesting that ω -3FAs have therapeutic effects in unipolar depression but the findings have been inconsistent [11–15]. Furthermore, it has been found that elevated ω -6FA : ω -3FAs ratios could predict suicidal behaviour in major depression [16]. A recent systematic review of published trials about effects of ω -3FAs on depressed mood provided little support for the use of ω -3FAs to improve depressed mood and have suggested more trials to detect clinical effects [17]. In contrast, two other reviews

supported protective effect of ω -3FA intake in mood disorders and its benefit in unipolar and bipolar depression, but they have suggested further treatment studies to examine the efficacy of ω -3FA and to determine which ω -3FA is optimal in different disorders [18,19].

The objective of the present study was to compare therapeutic effects of EPA, fluoxetine and a combination of them in major depression. A placebo-only group could not be included for ethical reasons. To our knowledge this is the first report of EPA monotherapy in major depressive disorder.

Methods

Patients

Patients were referred from Roozbeh Psychiatry Hospital, Tehran, Iran to participate in the study. The study was explained to them and if the patients were eligible and willing to participate, written informed consent was then obtained. The protocol, prepared in accordance with the Helsinki declaration, was approved by the Ethical Committee, Research Department of Tehran University of Medical Sciences.

The patients were 20–59 years of age and met DSM-IV criteria for major depressive disorder without psychotic features based on the semi-structured clinical interview. Their scores on the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HDRS) were ≥ 15 and they were free of medication for at least 6 weeks. The exclusion criteria were comorbid psychiatric diagnosis other than dysthymia and anxiety, significant medical illness established by medical history, physical examination or laboratory tests, suicidal thoughts, substance abuse, history of hypomanic/manic/mixed episode, pregnancy and lactation, consumption of ω -3FA supplements in the previous year, and dietary intake of more than one serving of fish per week.

Test drugs

Soft gels of 550 mg ethyl-EPA (500 mg pure EPA and 11 mg vitamin E as antioxidant) were supplied by Minami Nutrition, Belgium. The placebo soft gels contained 550 mg rapeseed oil and 11 mg vitamin E and were identical to the ethyl-EPA soft gels in appearance. Fluoxetine was provided as 20 mg capsules and its placebo contained starch and avicel.

Trial design

Patients were randomly allocated into three groups according to pre-arranged balanced block randomization to receive daily either two ethyl-EPA soft gels (1000 mg EPA) plus fluoxetine placebo, or one fluoxetine capsule (20 mg fluoxetine) plus ethyl-EPA placebo, or two ethyl-EPA soft gels (1000 mg EPA) plus one fluoxetine capsule (20 mg fluoxetine) for 8 weeks. The study was double blind

and because the fluoxetine capsule and EPA soft gel were not identical we used a double dummy technique to blind patients and the physician who assessed them.

The patients underwent psychiatric assessment by means of HDRS at baseline and at weeks 2, 4, 6 and 8. Adverse events were recorded in a checklist at each visit. Compliance was estimated by counting pills. Patients were considered compliant if they consumed more than 90% of the medication.

Statistical analysis

Given $\alpha = 0.05$, $\beta = 0.2$ and a final difference between the groups of ≥ 5 on the HDRS, the sample size was calculated to be at least 15 in each group. Patients who remained in the study for at least 4 weeks were included in analysis. Change on HDRS was the primary outcome measure. Analysis of covariance was used to compare HDRS changes among groups. Differences were considered significant for $p < 0.05$.

Results

Patients characteristics

A total of 60 patients were recruited into the study (20 in each group). Forty-eight patients completed at least 4 weeks of the study, so they were included in the analysis (four patients in each group dropped out). In the fluoxetine group one patient withdrew because of drowsiness after taking the medications, one was lost due to non-compliance and two were lost to follow up. In the EPA group one patient was excluded due to developing suicidal ideation, one due to non-compliance and two were lost to follow up. In the group receiving EPA and fluoxetine combination one patient dropped out due to steatorrhoea, one because of skin rash, one due to non-compliance and one was lost to follow up.

As shown in Table 1 the groups were matched with regard to sex, age, and duration of recent episode, but there was a difference in age of onset and the number of previous episodes, although it was

not statistically significant. These variables were included as covariates in the analysis of covariance.

Between-group analysis

Figure 1 illustrates HDRS scores in the 48 patients who completed at least 4 weeks of the study, with the last observation carried forward.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for HDRS at week 8 across treatment groups was performed. Baseline HDRS, age of onset and number of previous episodes were covariates. Results of ANCOVA are shown in Table 2. Treatment, age of onset and baseline HDRS had a significant effect on HDRS at week 8.

ANCOVA comparing HDRS at week 8 in the fluoxetine group with the other groups and also the EPA group with the EPA + fluoxetine combination is shown in Table 3. The fluoxetine + EPA combination is significantly better than fluoxetine or EPA alone. Fluoxetine and EPA appear to be equally effective in controlling depressive symptoms.

ANCOVA for HDRS at weeks 2, 4 and 6 showed that treatment had an effect on HDRS at week 4 and 6 ($p = 0.016$, 0.02 respectively) but not at week 2 ($p = 0.113$). Response rates ($\geq 50\%$ decrease in baseline HDRS) were 50%, 56% and 81% in the fluoxetine, EPA and combination groups, respectively.

Within-group analysis

Repeated measure analysis of variance was done to test for significant differences in depressive scores over time within each group. There was a significant effect of time within each group and also when all groups were combined, with a noticeable effect starting at week 2 (in all cases $p < 0.05$).

Adverse events

Sixteen adverse events were reported during the trial (Table 4). Fish aftertaste was reported by two patients in the EPA group and two patients in the combined group. In the EPA group it was limited to the first few days. Anxiety was reported by nine patients in the fluoxetine group, one patient in the EPA group and three

Table 1. Patient details

	Fluoxetine (n = 16)	EPA (n = 16)	Fluoxetine+EPA (n = 16)	p
Age (years) †	35.1 ± 9.4	34.9 ± 8.7	34.5 ± 11.3	0.98 [‡]
Gender, n (%)				
male	4 (25)	4 (25)	7 (34)	0.42 [‡]
female	12 (75)	12 (75)	9 (56)	
Weight (kg)	73.63 ± 12.63	67.86 ± 17.09	65.83 ± 14.62	0.32 [‡]
No. previous episodes	0.38 ± 1.02	0.5 ± .97	1.38 ± 2.67	0.26 [‡]
Duration of recent episode (weeks)	13.3 ± 8.3	12.6 ± 9.1	12.9 ± 11.9	0.98 [‡]
Age of onset (years)	32.9 ± 8.9	31.4 ± 9.1	28.1 ± 7.7	0.29 [‡]
Baseline 24-item HDRS (score)	29.31 ± 6.5	29.94 ± 4.9	31.63 ± 5.8	0.50 [‡]

EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; † Analysis of variance; ‡ χ^2 test.




Figure 1. Changes in the Hamilton Depression Rating scale (HDRS) over time in the fluoxetine, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and EPA+fluoxetine groups. Analysis of covariance for HDRS adjusted for baseline scores, age of onset and number of previous episodes was performed at weeks 2, 4, 6 and 8. There was a significant effect of treatment at week 4 ($F=4.58$, $p=0.016$), week 6 ($F=4.28$, $p=0.02$) and week 8 ($F=6.02$, $p=0.005$).

patients in the combined group. It was limited to the first weeks for all subjects who reported it. Decreased appetite was reported by six patients in the fluoxetine group. Fisher's exact test showed that the frequency of anxiety and decreased appetite were different among groups ($p=0.009$ and 0.002 respectively).

Table 2. Analysis of covariance for HDRS at week 8 across the three groups

Covariate	F	p
Baseline 24-item HDRS	5.25	0.027
Age of onset	7.39	0.009
No. previous episodes	2.4	0.129
Treatment	6.02	0.005

HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.

Discussion

EPA + fluoxetine combination versus fluoxetine

The combination of fluoxetine and EPA was more effective than either of them alone from the fourth week of treatment. It has been reported that EPA or mixture of EPA and DHA at doses ranging from 1 to 9.6 g day⁻¹ were more effective than placebo in three out of four trials assessing therapeutic effects of ω -3FAs as adjunctive therapy for unipolar major depressive episode [11–13,15]. In contrast, 8 g DHA-enriched fish oil (600 mg EPA), which Silvers *et al.* used in their study, was not more effective than placebo [15]. In the other studies EPA was provided at a dose of ≥ 1 g day⁻¹.

Puri *et al.* reported a case of 21-year old man with a 7 year history of unremitting depressive syndrome. Administration of EPA at a dose of 4 g day⁻¹ as adjunctive treatment led to rapid improvement within 1 month. After 9 months all of the symptoms disappeared [20].

EPA was effective as adjunctive treatment in bipolar depression [21,22], but 6 g ethyl-EPA was not effective as adjunctive treatment in bipolar and rapid-cycling bipolar disorder [23].

EPA versus fluoxetine

Although EPA alone was as effective as fluoxetine in controlling depressive symptoms, it is possible that neither EPA nor fluoxetine was more effective than placebo. Because their combination was more effective than either alone, the possibility of placebo effect is slight. A few studies have reported the effectiveness of ω -3FA monotherapy in childhood, post-partum period, pregnancy and bipolar depression. A randomized controlled trial suggested that ω -3FA (400 mg EPA and 200 mg DHA) monotherapy may have therapeutic benefits in childhood depression [24]. An open-label trial and one dose-ranging (without placebo) study of ω -3FAs suggested that ω -3FA monotherapy may be of therapeutic benefit in the post-partum period and in depression during pregnancy [25,26]. But the sample size was too small in these studies. In another small open-label trial, ω -3FA as add-on therapy in bipolar depression had positive results [27]. Chiu *et al.* reported on a pregnant woman with recurrent depressive episode at 24 weeks of pregnancy who had improvement after ω -3FA monotherapy (4 g EPA and 2 g DHA per day) [28]. ω -3FA supplementation in healthy subjects was associated with improvement of mood status in a randomized controlled trial [29].

Table 3. Pairwise analysis of covariance for HDRS at week 8

Groups	Covariate	F	p
Fluoxetine and EPA	Baseline HDRS	2.29	0.142
	Age of onset	11.22	0.002
	No. previous episodes	0.184	0.671
	Treatment	0.652	0.426
Fluoxetine and EPA+fluoxetine	Baseline HDRS	1.36	0.253
	Age of onset	3.84	0.06
	No. previous episodes	2.72	0.11
	Treatment	9.4	0.005*
EPA and EPA+fluoxetine combination	Baseline HDRS	10.4	0.003
	Age of onset	1.89	0.18
	No. previous episodes	1.45	0.24
	Treatment	7.82	0.009*

EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; *Treatment is significantly effective.

In contrast to the aforementioned studies, DHA monotherapy was not more effective than placebo at a dose of 2 g day⁻¹ in unipolar major depressive episode [14]. A small open-label study failed to show any effects of ω -3FAs in preventing post-partum depression [30].

Possible mechanism of action of EPA

The impact of ω -3FAs in depression have been explained by several mechanisms [18]. Verlengia *et al.* observed marked differences between the

effects of EPA and DHA on Jurkat cells. There were opposite effects in some aspects. This indicates that it is not correct to generalize the effects of n-3 fatty acids [31]. EPA and DHA had significant differences in the production of interferon- γ (IFN- γ). EPA caused a higher decrease in the production of IFN- γ [31]. Excessive secretion of cytokines has been proposed as the cause of depression [32,33]. Cytokines, including IFN- γ , can potentially reduce serotonin levels by lowering the availability of its precursor (tryptophan) through activation of tryptophan-metabolizing enzyme. Increased activity of

Table 4. Reported adverse events during the trial

Adverse event	Fluoxetine (n=16)	EPA (n=16)	Fluoxetine+EPA (n=16)
Acne	0	0	2
Anxiety	9	1	3
Constipation	1	0	0
Diarrhoea	0	1	0
Drowsiness	2	1	0
Dyspepsia	0	0	3
Decreased appetite	6	0	0
Fatigue	0	0	2
Fish aftertaste	0	2	2
Insomnia	1	0	2
Nausea	3	0	0
Nightmare	1	0	1
Reflux	2	0	1
Sexual disturbances	2	0	2
Skin rash	0	0	1
Tremor	1	0	1

EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; HDRS, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale.

this enzyme may also contribute to monoaminergic disorders that are observed in depression in an indirect way [34].

Complex reciprocal interactions are observed between the serotonin system and arachidonic acid metabolism. Altered brain arachidonic acid metabolism has been implicated in unipolar disorder [35]. A study showed that EPA had a more pronounced effect on arachidonic acid-dependent processes compared to DHA [36].

In contrast to EPA, DHA is the main ω -3FA in the brain. It is involved in a variety of processes in neural cells [37]. Considering the differential and sometimes potentially opposite effects of EPA and DHA, the discrepancies among the studies assessing ω -3FAs can be explained by the absolute, as well as relative, amounts of EPA and DHA in supplements.

Conclusion

Although this study had some limitation including small sample size and lack of placebo group, the findings suggest that EPA is safe and effective as monotherapy as well as adjunctive treatment for unipolar major depressive episode. Because EPA is a dietary supplement it may be more acceptable to patients than antidepressants.

Considering the health benefits of ω -3FAs especially on cardiovascular disease and the fact that major depression is a risk factor for cardiovascular disease [38], EPA can be prescribed for patients with major depressive disorder both to control depressive symptoms and protect them from cardiovascular disease. Inflammatory cytokines that have an important role in atherogenesis [39] have been reported to increase in major depressive disorder [40] and potentially could be decreased by EPA [41].

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Vice-Chancellor for Research, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Thanks are extended to Minami Nutrition, Belgium for supplying the EPA soft gels. We would also like to acknowledge the cooperation and help of Dr. V. Sharifi, Dr. E. Izadian, Dr. M. Arbabi and Mrs. M. Chamari.

References

1. World Health Organization. *The world health report 2001-mental health: new understanding, new hope*. Geneva: WHO, 2001.
2. Arroll B, Macgillivray S, Ogston S *et al*. Efficacy and tolerability of tricyclic antidepressants and SSRIs compared with placebo for treatment of depression in primary care: a meta-analysis. *Ann Fam Med* 2005; 3:449-456.
3. Fava M, Davidson KG. Definition and epidemiology of treatment-resistant depression. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 1996; 19:179-200.
4. Kessler RC, Davis RB, Foster DF *et al*. Long-term trends in the use of complementary and alternative medical therapies in the United States. *Ann Intern Med* 2001; 135:262-268.
5. Silvers KM, Woolley CC, Hedderley D. Dietary supplement use in people being treated for depression. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2006; 15:30-34.
6. Mamalakis G, Kalogeropoulos N, Andrikopoulos N *et al*. Depression and long chain n-3 fatty acids in adipose tissue in adults from Crete. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2006; 60:882-888.
7. Mamalakis G, Jansen E, Cremers H, Kiriakakis M, Tsimbinos G, Kafatos A. Depression and adipose and serum cholesteryl ester polyunsaturated fatty acids in the survivors of the seven countries study population of Crete. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 2006; 60:1016-1023.
8. Mamalakis G, Kiriakakis M, Tsimbinos G *et al*. Depression and serum adiponectin and adipose omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids in adolescents. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 2006; 85:474-479.
9. Hibbeln JR. Fish consumption and major depression. *Lancet* 1998; 351:1213.
10. Edwards R, Peet M, Shay J, Horrobin D. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid levels in the diet and in red blood cell membranes of depressed patients. *J Affect Disord* 1998; 48:149-155.
11. Peet M, Horrobin DF. A dose-ranging study of the effects of ethyl-eicosapentaenoate in patients with ongoing depression despite apparently adequate treatment with standard drugs. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002; 59:913-919.
12. Su KP, Huang SY, Chiu CC, Shen WW. Omega-3 fatty acids in major depressive disorder. A preliminary double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol* 2003; 13:267-271.
13. Nemets B, Stahl Z, Belmaker RH. Addition of omega-3 fatty acid to maintenance medication treatment for recurrent unipolar depressive disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2002; 159:477-479.
14. Marangell LB, Martinez JM, Zboyan HA, Kertz B, Kim HF, Puryear LJ. A double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the omega-3 fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid in the treatment of major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003; 160:996-998.
15. Silvers KM, Woolley CC, Hamilton FC, Watts PM, Watson RA. Randomised double-blind placebo-controlled trial of fish oil in the treatment of depression. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2005; 72:211-218.
16. Sublette ME, Hibbeln JR, Galfalvy H, Oquendo MA, Mann JJ. Omega-3 polyunsaturated essential fatty acid status as a predictor of future suicide risk. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; 163:1100-1102.
17. Appleton KM, Hayward RC, Gunnell D *et al*. Effects of n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids on depressed mood: systematic review of published trials. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006; 84:1308-1316.
18. Freeman MP, Hibbeln JR, Wisner KL *et al*. Omega-3 fatty acids: evidence basis for treatment and future research in psychiatry. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006; 67:1954-1967.

19. Parker G, Gibson NA, Brotchie H, Heruc G, Rees AM, Hadzi-Pavlovic D. Omega-3 fatty acids and mood disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; 163:969–978.
20. Puri BK, Counsell SJ, Richardson AJ, Horrobin DF. Eicosapentaenoic acid in treatment-resistant depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2002; 59:91–92.
21. Stoll AL, Severus WE, Freeman MP *et al.* Omega 3 fatty acids in bipolar disorder: a preliminary double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999; 56:407–412.
22. Frangou S, Lewis M, McCrone P. Efficacy of ethyl-eicosapentaenoic acid in bipolar depression: randomised double-blind placebo-controlled study. *Br J Psychiatry* 2006; 188:46–50.
23. Keck PE Jr, Mintz J, McElroy S *et al.* Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trials of ethyl-eicosapentaenoate in the treatment of bipolar depression and rapid cycling bipolar disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006; 60:1020–1022.
24. Nemets H, Nemets B, Apter A, Bracha Z, Belmaker RH. Omega-3 treatment of childhood depression: a controlled, double-blind pilot study. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006; 163:1098–1100.
25. Freeman MP, Hibbeln JR, Wisner KL, Watchman M, Gelenberg AJ. An open trial of omega-3 fatty acids for depression in pregnancy. *Acta Neuropsychiatr* 2006; 18:21–24.
26. Freeman MP, Hibbeln JR, Wisner KL, Brumbach BH, Watchman M, Gelenberg AJ. Randomized dose-ranging pilot trial of omega-3 fatty acids for postpartum depression. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2006; 113:31–35.
27. Osher Y, Bersudsky Y, Belmaker RH. Omega-3 eicosapentaenoic acid in bipolar depression: report of a small open-label study. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2005; 66:726–729.
28. Chiu CC, Huang SY, Shen WW, Su KP. Omega-3 fatty acids for depression in pregnancy. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003; 160:385.
29. Fontani G, Corradeschi F, Felici A, Alfatti F, Migliorini S, Lodi L. Cognitive and physiological effects of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid supplementation in healthy subjects. *Eur J Clin Invest* 2005; 35:691–699.
30. Marangell LB, Martinez JM, Zboyan HA, Chong H, Puryear LJ. Omega-3 fatty acids for the prevention of postpartum depression: negative data from a preliminary, open-label pilot study. *Depress Anxiety* 2004; 19:20–23.
31. Verlengia R, Gorjao R, Kanunfre CC *et al.* Comparative effects of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid on proliferation, cytokine production, and pleiotropic gene expression in Jurkat cells. *J Nutr Biochem* 2004; 15:657–665.
32. Smith RS. The macrophage theory of depression. *Med Hypotheses* 1991; 35:298–306 [Erratum: *Med Hypotheses* 1991; 36:178].
33. Leonard BE. The immune system, depression and the action of antidepressants. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2001; 25:767–780.
34. Schiepers OJ, Wichers MC, Maes M. Cytokines and major depression. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2005; 29:201–217.
35. Sublette ME, Russ MJ, Smith GS. Evidence for a role of the arachidonic acid cascade in affective disorders: a review. *Bipolar Disord* 2004; 6:95–105.
36. Obajimi O, Black KD, MacDonald DJ, Boyle RM, Glen I, Ross BM. Differential effects of eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acids upon oxidant-stimulated release and uptake of arachidonic acid in human lymphoma U937 cells. *Pharmacol Res* 2005; 52:183–191.
37. Sinclair AJ, Begg D, Mathai M, Weisinger RS. Omega 3 fatty acids and the brain: review of studies in depression. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2007; 16 (Suppl 1):391–397.
38. Massart N, Triffaux JM. [Depression and coronary artery disease]. *Rev Med Liege* 2005; 60:931–938.
39. Aukrust P, Yndestad A, Smith C, Ueland T, Gullestad L, Damas JK. Chemokines in cardiovascular risk prediction. *Thromb Haemost* 2007; 97:748–754.
40. Pavon L, Sandoval-Lopez G, Eugenia Hernandez M *et al.* Th2 cytokine response in major depressive disorder patients before treatment. *J Neuroimmunol* 2006; 172:156–165.
41. Calder PC. n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids, inflammation, and inflammatory diseases. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006; 83:1505S–1519S.